1	
2	
3	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GALIFORNIA
7	
8	JOSE EDGAR PEREZ,
9	Plaintiff, No. C 07-2039 PJH
10	v. ORDER OF DISMISSAL
11	RUMI CONSTRUCTION, et al.,
12	Defendants.
13	
14	The court having been advised by Magistrate Judge Maria-Elena James following a
15	settlement conference, that the parties have agreed to a settlement of this case,
16	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this case is dismissed <b>without</b> prejudice; however,
17	if any party hereto shall certify to this Court, with proof of service of a copy thereof to the
18	opposing party, within sixty (60) days from the date of this order, that the agreed
19	consideration for said settlement has not been delivered over, the foregoing order shall
20	stand vacated and this case shall forthwith be restored to the Court's calendar to be set for
21	trial.
22	If no certification is filed, after passage of sixty (60) days, the dismissal shall be with
23	prejudice.
24	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.
26	Dated: December 17, 2008
27	PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON
28	United States District Judge